

Higher geometry and algebraic K -theory

John Lind

The Johns Hopkins University

AMS/MAA Joint Meetings – Boston 2012
AMS Special Session on Homotopy Theory (1/7/2012)

Topological K -theory

Vector Bundles as Cocycles

Topological K -theory $K^*(-)$ has a geometric interpretation of its (degree 0) cocycles.

- X : finite CW complex
- The set of equivalence classes of complex vector bundles over X :

$$[\text{complex vector bundles}/X, \oplus]$$

This is a monoid under Whitney sum \oplus .

- $\text{Grp}(-)$: the Grothendieck group completion of a monoid.

Then:

$$K^0(X) = \text{Grp}[\text{complex vector bundles}/X, \oplus]$$

Goal: Find a similar description of cocycles for the cohomology theory $K(R)^*(-)$ determined by the algebraic K -theory of a ring spectrum R .

Topological K -theory $K^*(-)$ is the cohomology theory associated to the complex K -theory spectrum K :

- $K = K(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Algebraic } K\text{-theory}(\text{finite rank } \mathbb{C}\text{-modules})$
- $\Omega^\infty K = \mathbb{Z} \times BU \simeq K_0(\mathbb{C}) \times BGL_\infty(\mathbb{C})$

$\mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow$ connective ring spectrum R

The algebraic K -theory spectrum $K(R)$ arises as:

- $K(R) = \text{Algebraic } K\text{-theory}(\text{finite cell } R\text{-modules})$
- $\Omega^\infty K(R) = K_0(R) \times BGL_\infty(R)^+$

Goal, more precisely

The desired analog of

$$K^0(X) = \text{Grp}[\text{complex vector bundles}/X, \oplus]$$

is:

$$K(R)^0(X) = \text{Grp}[\text{bundles of } R\text{-modules}/X, \vee]$$

$K(R)^*(-)$: cohomology theory associated to the algebraic K -theory spectrum of R .

bundle of R -modules: parametrized family of R -module spectra.

Parametrized Spectra

A parametrized spectrum E over X is a spectrum object $\{E_n\}$ in the category of spaces over and under X :

$$X \xrightarrow{s} E_n \xrightarrow{p} X \quad p \circ s = \text{id}_X$$

$$\Sigma_X E_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_n \wedge_X S^1_X \xrightarrow{\sigma} E_{n+1} \quad p \circ \sigma = p, \quad \sigma \circ s = s.$$

In order to employ structured ring and module spectra, we will implicitly use orthogonal spectra and follow the homotopical foundations developed by May-Sigurdsson.

Fiberwise Equivalences

A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of base spaces gives rise to a series of base change functors $f_! \dashv f^* \dashv f_*$.

- Pullback along the inclusion of a point $i_x: * \rightarrow X$ determines the fiber of E over $x \in X$:

$$E_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i_x^* E$$

- A map $E \rightarrow E'$ of spectra over X is a fiberwise weak equivalence if the induced map $E_x \rightarrow E'_x$ on fibers is a weak equivalence of spectra for all $x \in X$.

This is the appropriate notion of equivalence for parametrized spectra.

Untwistings

- If M is a non-parametrized spectrum, we can form the “untwisted” parametrized spectrum

$$M_X = “M \times X” = r^*M, \quad r: X \longrightarrow *.$$

A trivialization of E is a fiberwise equivalence $E \simeq M_X$ for some M .

- For any spectrum E over X , there are associated cohomology groups:

$$E^n(X) = \pi_{-n} r_* F_X(X, E) = \pi_0 \{ \text{global sections of } E_n \xrightarrow{p} X \}.$$

More generally, E determines a cohomology theory on the category of spaces over X . When $E \simeq M_X$ is trivial,

$$E^*(X) = M^*(X).$$

Let R be a connective ring spectrum.

- An R -bundle E over X is a parametrized spectrum with an associative and unital action of R over X

$$R \wedge E \longrightarrow E.$$

Each fiber E_x is a (non-parametrized) R -module spectrum.

Examples

- Given a twisted coefficient system $\pi_1 X \curvearrowright V$, there is a parametrized Eilenberg MacLane spectrum HV over X . The associated cohomology is ordinary cohomology with coefficients in V :

$$HV^*(X) = H^*(X; V).$$

- Let $\tau \in H^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$. The τ -twisted K -theory $K_\tau^*(X)$ of X arises as the cohomology associated to a K -bundle $E(\tau)$ over X with fiber K :

$$E(\tau)^*(X) = K_\tau^*(X).$$

- Given a link diagram D , there is an $H\mathbb{Z}$ -bundle E_D over the space X_D of “crossing data” of D . The associated cohomology is the Khovanov homology of D :

$$E_D^*(X_D) = KH^*(D) \quad \text{[Everitt-Turner]}$$

Examples

- Applying Σ_X^∞ to the free loop space fibration

$$\Omega X \longrightarrow LX \xrightarrow{ev} X,$$

we have a parametrized spectrum $\Sigma_X^\infty LX$ over X with fiber $\Sigma_+^\infty \Omega X$.

- The Cohen-Jones ring spectrum LM^{-TM} (whose homology realizes the Chas-Sullivan string product) arises from a parametrized spectrum ELM^{-TM} over M :

$$LM^{-TM} = r_! ELM^{-TM}, \quad r: M \longrightarrow *.$$

T. Kragh: Although ELM^{-TM} is non-trivially twisted, its homology is untwisted. From this he deduces a homotopy theoretic form of the nearby Lagrangian conjecture in symplectic topology.

The Main Theorem

- An R -bundle E has finite rank if every fiber admits an equivalence $E_x \simeq R^{\vee n}$ for some $n \geq 0$ (possibly varying over the components of X).

Main Theorem

Let R be a connective ring spectrum and let X be a finite CW complex. There is a natural isomorphism

$$K(R)^0(X) \cong \text{Grp}[\text{virtual finite rank } R\text{-bundles}/X, \vee].$$

“virtual” means that we pass to homologically equivalent covers of X when considering bundle classes. This is forced by the non-trivial effect of Quillen’s plus construction.

The Main Theorem: $R = ku$ and 2-vector bundles

Let $R = ku$ be the connective complex K -theory spectrum.

Main Theorem ($R = ku$)

$$K(ku)^0(X) \cong \text{Grp}[\text{virtual finite rank } ku\text{-bundles}/X, \vee].$$

- Instead of the analogy $\mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow ku$, we could try:
 $\mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow (\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}, \oplus, \otimes)$ = the “ring category” of finite rank \mathbb{C} v.s.
- Baas-Dundas-Richter-Rognes define the algebraic K -theory $K(\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and give a similar description of $K(\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}})^0(X)$ in terms of bundles of $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module categories over X .
- The equivalence $K(ku) \simeq K(\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}})$ [BDRR, Osorno] means that ku -bundles and 2-vector bundles provide two geometric descriptions of the same cohomology theory.

The Classification Theorem

- $\text{End}_R M$: the A_∞ -space of R -module maps $M \rightarrow M$
- $\text{Aut}_R M = \text{GL}_1 F_R(M, M)$: the grouplike A_∞ -space of R -module equivalences $M \rightarrow M$
- For example,

$$\text{GL}_n R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Aut}_R(R^{\vee n}).$$

The main theorem follows from:

The Classification Theorem

*The space $B\text{Aut}_R M$ classifies R -bundles with fiber M .
More precisely, there is a natural isomorphism of equivalence classes:*

$$[X, B\text{Aut}_R M] \cong [R\text{-bundles over } X \text{ with fiber } M].$$

The Classification Theorem

The Classification Theorem

*The space $BAut_R M$ classifies R -bundles with fiber M .
More precisely, there is a natural isomorphism of equivalence classes:*

$$[X, BAut_R M] \cong [R\text{-bundles over } X \text{ with fiber } M].$$

Ando-Blumberg-Gepner take this as their starting point: using the language of quasicategories, they *define* the space $Map(X, BAut_R M)$ to be the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of R -bundles with fiber M . Their comparison with May-Sigurdsson should specialize to give a version of this result.

Thom Spectra arise as line R -bundles

Ando-Blumberg-Gepner-Hopkins-Rezk: Given a map

$$f: X \longrightarrow BGL_1 R,$$

we can form the parametrized line R -bundle Lf over X corresponding to f by the classification theorem. The R -module Thom spectrum Mf associated to f is:

$$Mf = r_! Lf \quad r: X \longrightarrow *$$

The Lf cohomology of X defines the f -twisted R -theory of X :

$$Lf^*(X) = R_f^*(X).$$

Proof of the Classification Theorem

Underlying technology (originates in work of Bökstedt/EKMM):

Theorem (Blumberg, L., Schlichtkrull-Sagave)

*There is a symmetric monoidal model category $(\mathcal{A}, \boxtimes, *)$ with $\mathrm{Ho}\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathrm{Ho}\mathrm{Top}$ such that:*

$$\begin{aligned}\{\boxtimes\text{-monoids in } \mathcal{A}\} &\simeq \{A_\infty\text{-spaces}\} \\ \{\text{commutative } \boxtimes\text{-monoids in } \mathcal{A}\} &\simeq \{E_\infty\text{-spaces}\}\end{aligned}$$

Let G be a grouplike \boxtimes -monoid in \mathcal{A} . Using the two-sided bar construction built out of \boxtimes , we can define a “universal principal G -bundle”

$$EG = B^{\boxtimes}(G, G, *) \longrightarrow B^{\boxtimes}(*, G, *) = BG.$$

Pullback of EG along a map $f: X \longrightarrow BG$ induces:

$$[X, BG] \cong [\text{principal } G\text{-bundles}/X].$$

Proof of the Classification Theorem

When $G = \text{Aut}_R M$, this is:

$$[X, B\text{Aut}_R M] \cong [\text{principal } \text{Aut}_R M\text{-bundles}/X].$$

Forming the associated R -bundle with fiber M

$$Y \longmapsto M \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty \text{Aut}_R M} \Sigma_X^\infty Y$$

induces a natural isomorphism:

$$[\text{principal } \text{Aut}_R M\text{-bundles}/X] \cong [R\text{-bundles over } X \text{ with fiber } M].$$