

NOTES FROM A TALK ON PARAMETRIZED THOM SPECTRA AND ORIENTATION THEORY

JOHN A. LIND

An n -dimensional vector bundle $\xi: V \rightarrow X$ gives rise to a spherical fibration $S^\xi: S^V \rightarrow X$, and thus to a local coefficient system

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^*(S^\bullet_V): \Pi_1 X &\rightarrow \text{grAb} \\ p \in X &\mapsto \tilde{H}^*(S^V_p) \end{aligned}$$

An orientation of ξ is an isomorphism of local coefficient systems $\tilde{H}^*(S^\bullet_V) \cong \mathbf{Z}[n]$, where $\mathbf{Z}[n]$ is the constant system given by the integers in degree n and 0 elsewhere. The Serre spectral sequence associated with $\tilde{H}^*(S^\bullet_V)$ takes the form

$$H^p(X, \tilde{H}^q(S^\bullet_V)) \implies H^{p+q}(S^V, X) \cong \tilde{H}^{p+q}(X^\xi)$$

where, $X^\xi = S^V/X$ is the Thom space of ξ .

An orientation of ξ “untwists” this spectral sequence so that it converges to $H^{p+q-n}(X)$. The resulting isomorphism of E_∞ terms is the Thom isomorphism in integral cohomology.

Let $\gamma(n)$ be the tautological n -plane bundle over $BO(n)$. Then the Thom space $BO(n)^{\gamma(n)}$ is the n -th space of the Thom spectrum MO representing unoriented cobordism theory. More generally, given a compatible system of maps $f_n: X_n \rightarrow BO(n)$, we may define a spectrum Mf whose n -th space is $X_n^{f_n^* \gamma(n)}$. Using this method, we can construct $MSO, MSp, MSpin, MString, MU$, etc. If $\xi - \eta$ is a virtual vector bundle, we choose ξ' such that $\eta \oplus \xi'$ is the trivial bundle ϵ_N of rank N , and then define:

$$X^{\xi-\eta} = \Sigma^N X^{\xi \oplus \xi'}$$

Alternatively, we could pass to the colimit of classifying spaces. The space $BO = \text{colim}_n BO(n)$ classifies rank zero virtual vector bundles, and we define the Thom spectrum associated to a map $f: X \rightarrow BO$ to be the Thom spectrum Mf associated with the system $f_n: f^{-1}BO(n) \rightarrow BO(n)$ of maps into the finite skeleta.

In fact, the construction of Thom spectra only depends on the associated spherical fibration of a vector bundle. Let $h\text{Aut}(S^n)$ be the monoid of based homotopy equivalences $S^n \rightarrow S^n$. Then the classifying space $Bh\text{Aut}(S^n)$ classifies fibrations with fiber S^n . The J -homomorphism $J: BO(n) \rightarrow Bh\text{Aut}(S^n)$ is induced by one-point compactification. We may think of the space $\Omega^\infty S = \text{colim}_n \Omega^n S^n$ of stable self-maps of spheres as the space $\text{Hom}_S(S, S)$ of S -module endomorphisms of the sphere spectrum. The subspace $\text{colim}_n h\text{Aut}(S^n) \subset \Omega^\infty S$ corresponds to the space $\text{GL}_1 S$ of S -module automorphisms of S .

Suppose we are given a (not necessarily commutative) S -algebra R with unit map $\eta: S \rightarrow R$. There is an A_∞ space of units $\text{GL}_1 R \subset \Omega^\infty R$ corresponding to the subspace of $\text{Hom}_R(R, R)$ consisting of R -module automorphisms. Composing the J -homomorphism with the map of units induced by η , we have the diagram

$$BO \xrightarrow{J} \text{colim}_n Bh\text{Aut}(S^n) = \text{BGL}_1 S \rightarrow \text{BGL}_1 R$$

We will now extend the construction of Thom spectra to accept maps $f: X \rightarrow \text{BGL}_1 R$ as input.

To this end, we define a universal principal $\text{GL}_1 R$ -bundle $E\text{GL}_1 R \rightarrow \text{BGL}_1 R$ in terms of a two-sided bar construction

$$B(*, \text{GL}_1 R, \text{GL}_1 R) \rightarrow B(*, \text{GL}_1 R, *)$$

In order to do this truthfully, one needs to make a choice of model for A_∞ spaces as monoids in some category with a symmetric monoidal structure \boxtimes . One then forms the bar construction in the usual way but with respect to \boxtimes instead of the cartesian product. See [5, 7, 9] for a few different approaches to the required technology.

Writing B for BGL_1R , we may form the parametrized suspension spectrum $\Sigma_B^\infty EGL_1R$, whose fibers are of the form $\Sigma_+^\infty EGL_1R$. In particular, this is a right $\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1R$ -module. The spectrum R is a left $\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1R$ -module. In analogy with the vector bundle associated to the universal principal $O(n)$ -bundle, we define the universal rank 1 R -module bundle (a.k.a. line R -bundle) to be the parametrized R -module

$$\Sigma_B^\infty EGL_1R \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1R} R.$$

Definition. Let $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1R$ be a map of spaces. The parametrized Thom spectrum associated to f is the base change of the universal rank 1 R -module bundle along the map f :

$$\begin{aligned} M_X f &= f^*(\Sigma_B^\infty EGL_1R \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1R} R) \\ &\cong \Sigma_X^\infty f^* EGL_1R \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1R} R. \end{aligned}$$

$M_X f$ is a rank 1 R -bundle over X , i.e. a parametrized R -module spectrum over X whose fibers are equivalent to R . The total Thom spectrum associated to f is the R -module $Mf = r_! M_X f$. Here, $r_!$ is the left adjoint to the functor r^* from spectra to parametrized spectra that gives the ‘‘untwisted’’ parametrized spectrum. It is the base change functor associated to the map $r: X \rightarrow *$.

By the definition of $M_X f$, we have the description:

$$Mf = r_! f^*(\Sigma_B^\infty EGL_1R \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1R} R) \cong \Sigma_+^\infty f^* EGL_1R \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1R} R.$$

This is the definition for Mf described in [2]. When $S = R$, this agrees with the old definition of the Thom spectrum associated to f . If f factors through a map $g: X \rightarrow BGL_1S$, then we have an isomorphism of parametrized Thom spectra $M_X f \cong M_X g \wedge R$.

The following theorem justifies the use of the word ‘‘universal’’ above:

Theorem. [8] *The association*

$$(f: X \rightarrow BGL_1R) \mapsto M_X f$$

induces a bijection between the set of homotopy classes of maps $[X, BGL_1R]$ and the set of fiberwise weak equivalence classes of parametrized rank one R -module spectra over X .

Suppose that E is a parametrized spectrum with fiber M . We say that E is trivializable if there is a weak homotopy equivalence of parametrized spectra $E \simeq r^*M$. It follows from the theorem that the Thom spectrum $M_X f$ is trivializable if and only if the map $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1R$ is null-homotopic.

From the quasicategory point of view, there is a model for BGL_1R that suggests we take this theorem as a definition. In [1], a parametrized rank 1 R -module spectrum over X is defined to be a map $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1R$.

Theorem (Mahowald-Ray). *Let $\xi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a spherical fibration over X , and let $f(Y) \rightarrow BGL_1S \rightarrow BGL_1R$ be the map induced by the classifying map for Y . The spherical fibration Y is R -orientable if and only if the parametrized Thom spectrum $M_X f(Y)$ is trivializable.*

Proof. A Thom class $\mu \in R^n(X^\xi)$ may be represented by a map $\mu: r_! Y = X^\xi \rightarrow \Sigma^n R$ with adjoint $\tilde{\mu}: Y \rightarrow r^* \Sigma^n R = R \wedge_X S_X^n$. Composing with the multiplication of R gives a map

$$\psi: M_X f = R \wedge_X Y \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \tilde{\mu}} R \wedge R \wedge_X S_X^n \rightarrow R \wedge_X S_X^n.$$

For each point $x \in X$, the class $\mu_x \in R^n(Y_x) \cong R^n(S^n)$ is a unit if and only if the restriction ψ_x of ψ to the map of fibers over x is a weak equivalence of R -modules. This proves the theorem. \square

When ξ is R -oriented, we may deduce the Thom isomorphisms

$$R_*(X_+) \cong R_{*+n}(X^\xi) \quad R^*(X_+) \cong R^{*+n}(X^\xi)$$

from the equivalences of spectra

$$R \wedge X^\xi \simeq R \wedge \Sigma^n X_+ \quad F(X^\xi, R) \simeq F(\Sigma^n X_+, R)$$

obtained by applying $r_!$ to the equivalence of parametrized spectra given in the theorem.

Example. Let $R = H\mathbf{Z}$. Then $GL_1 H\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}/2$, and the composite

$$w_2: BO \xrightarrow{J} BGL_1 S \longrightarrow BGL_1 H\mathbf{Z} = K(\mathbf{Z}/2, 1)$$

represents the first Stiefel-Whitney class w_2 . Let ξ be a vector bundle, and let $f: X \rightarrow BO$ be the map induced by the map representing ξ . The vector bundle ξ is $H\mathbf{Z}$ -orientable if and only if the Thom spectrum $M_X f = X^\xi \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$ is trivializable. The latter condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the first Stiefel-Whitney class $w_1(\xi) = [w_1 \circ f] \in H^1(X; \mathbf{Z}/2)$.

Example. Let $R = K$ be complex K -theory. Then $\Omega^\infty K = \mathbf{Z} \times BU$, and the space of units of K decomposes as a product

$$GL_1 K = \mathbf{Z}/2 \times BU(1) \times BSU_\otimes = \mathbf{Z}/2 \times K(\mathbf{Z}, 2) \times BSU_\otimes.$$

If we pass to the connected cover SO of O , the map

$$SO \xrightarrow{J} GL_1 S \longrightarrow GL_1 K$$

factors through the connected cover $SL_1 K = K(\mathbf{Z}, 2) \times BSU_\otimes$ of $GL_1 K$. At the level of classifying spaces, the map

$$w_2: BSO \xrightarrow{J} BGL_1 S \longrightarrow BGL_1 K = K(\mathbf{Z}/2, 1) \times K(\mathbf{Z}, 3) \times BBSU_\otimes \xrightarrow{\pi} K(\mathbf{Z}/2, 1)$$

representing the first Stiefel-Whitney class is nullhomotopic. Let $Spin(n)$ be the universal cover of $SO(n)$, realized as a Lie group, and let

$$Spin^c(n) = Spin(n) \times_{\mathbf{Z}/2} U(1)$$

be the associated principal $U(1)$ -bundle over $SO(n)$. Then $Spin^c(n)$ is also a compact Lie group, and the colimit of the classifying spaces $BSpin^c = \text{colim}_n BSpin^c(n)$ is the fiber of the composite

$$BSO \xrightarrow{w_2} K(\mathbf{Z}/2, 2) \xrightarrow{\beta} K(\mathbf{Z}, 3) = BU(1)$$

of the second Stiefel-Whitney class and the Bockstein β . Therefore we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BSpin^c & \longrightarrow & EGL_1 K \simeq * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BSO & \xrightarrow{J} BGL_1 S \longrightarrow & BGL_1 K \\ & \searrow \beta w_2 & \downarrow \pi \\ & & K(\mathbf{Z}, 3) \end{array}$$

Since the map from $BSpin^c$ to $BGL_1 K$ is nullhomotopic, it follows that a real vector bundle ξ is K -orientable if and only if it has a reduction of its structural group to $Spin^c$, i.e. if $w_1(\xi) = 0$ and $\beta w_2(\xi) = 0$. This is the result of Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro [3], who constructed Thom isomorphisms in K -theory for $Spin^c$ -bundles.

Let $f: BSpin^c \rightarrow BGL_1 K$ be the composite in the diagram. Then f is nullhomotopic, so the parametrized Thom spectrum $M_{BSpin^c} f$ is trivializable:

$$M_{BSpin^c} f \simeq S_{BSpin^c} \wedge K.$$

Applying r_1 to the trivialization yields an equivalence of ring spectra (the Thom isomorphism):

$$MSpin^c \wedge K \simeq BSpin^c_+ \wedge K.$$

In modern language, the Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro orientation is the map of ring spectra $MSpin^c \rightarrow K$ given by the composite

$$MSpin^c \rightarrow MSpin^c \wedge K \simeq BSpin^c_+ \wedge K \rightarrow K$$

of the unit for K theory with the projection of $BSpin^c$ to a point. See [6] for a direct construction of the map of ring spectra. We can think about the orientation map geometrically. The homotopy of $MSpin^c$ is the ring of bordism classes of manifolds equipped with $Spin^c$ -structures on their tangent bundles, and the homotopy of the complex K -theory spectrum is given by equivalence classes of complex Hilbert spaces with an action of the Clifford algebra $\text{Cliff}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ and an odd skew-adjoint $\text{Cliff}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ -linear Fredholm operator. The Atiyah-Bott-Sapiro orientation sends such a manifold M to the Hilbert space of L^2 sections of the spinor

bundle, equipped with a $\text{Cliff}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ -action, along with the Fredholm operator given by the Dirac operator constructed from the connection associated to a choice of metric on M .

In general, if A is a ring spectrum and R is an A -algebra, we define an R -orientation of the Thom spectrum Mf associated to $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1 A$ to be a choice of lift \bar{f} in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & EGL_1 R \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \downarrow \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & BGL_1 A \longrightarrow BGL_1 R
 \end{array}$$

In other words, \bar{f} is a choice of trivialization of the rank one R -bundle associated to f . A choice of orientation is equivalent to a choice of a map of A -algebra spectra $Mf \rightarrow R$ that can be thought of as the “projection to the fiber.” This is the approach to orientations developed by Ando, Blumberg, Gepner, Hopkins, and Rezk [2, 4] in order to calculate the space of tmf -orientations of $MString$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ando, A.J. Blumberg, and D. Gepner, *Twists of K-theory and tmf*.
- [2] M. Ando, A.J. Blumberg, D. Gepner, M.J. Hopkins, and C. Rezk, *Units of ring spectra and Thom spectra*, arXiv:math.AT/0810.4535.
- [3] M.F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*, *Topology* **3** (1964), no. 1, 3-38.
- [4] M. Ando, M.J. Hopkins, and C. Rezk, *Multiplicative Orientations of KO-theory and of the spectrum of topological modular forms*, preprint.
- [5] A.J. Blumberg, R.L. Cohen, and C. Schlichtkrull, *Topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra and the free loop space*, *Geom. Topol.* **14** (2010), no. 2, 1165-1242.
- [6] M. Joachim, *Higher coherences for equivariant K-theory*, *Structured Ring Spectra*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **315** (2004), 87-114.
- [7] J. Lind, *Diagram spaces, diagram spectra, and spectra of units*, to appear in *Alg. Geom. Top.*
- [8] ———, *Bundles of spectra and algebraic K-theory*, in preparation.
- [9] C. Schlichtkrull and S. Sagave, *Diagram spaces and symmetric spectra*, arXiv:1103.2764.